Case 4:10-cv-04563-SBA Document 16 Filed 05/24/11 Page 1 of 3

Case4:10-cv-04563-SBA Document14 Filed05/20/11 Page1 of 3

DAVID M. HELBRAUN (SBN 129840) **HELBRAUN LAW FIRM** 351 California Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 982-4000 3 Facsimile: (415) 352-0988 4 Attorneys for Plaintiff 5 SPENCER SUTTON 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 No. CV 10-4563 SBA SPENCER SUTTON, 10 Plaintiff, 11 STIPULATION AND PROPOSED v. ORDER CONTINUING NON-EXPERT 12 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN DISCOVERY CUT-OFF TO SEPTEMBER FRANCISCO, POLICE OFFICER 9,2011 13 CHRISTOPHER M. MORRIS, in his individual and official capacities, 14 POLICE OFFICER CRAIG L. LEONG, in his individual and official capacities, 15 POLICE OFFICER STEVEN D. FERRAZ, in his individual and official 16 capacities, and DOES 1-30, Jointly and Severally, 17 Defendants. 18 19 The parties, by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby agree and stipulate as 20 follows: 21 WHEREAS the claims of Plaintiff, who now resides in Portland, involve alleged cognitive 22 disabilities which have complicated counsel's efforts to obtain plaintiff's deposition, which has been 23 commenced, but not completed as of this date, and has been, per Plaintiff's counsel, made more 24 difficult plaintiff's ability to respond to written discovery, as described at length in the Declaration of 25 David M. Helbraun accompanying this Stipulation; and 26 WHEREAS there are numerous non-expert witnesses identified in the case as to liability and 27

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING NON-EXPERT DISCOVERY CUT-OFF

28

damages issues, totaling 21 such disclosed witnesses by Plaintiff and 16 such disclosed witnesses on

Case No. C10-4563 SBA

Case 4:10-cv-04563-SBA Document 16 Filed 05/24/11 Page 2 of 3

Case4:10-cv-04563-SBA Document14 Filed05/20/11 Page2 of 3

behalf of defendants; and 2 WHEREAS at least 5 of the non-expert witnesses are located outside the State of California, and out-of-state trips are required to take depositions in Washington, Oregon, and New York; and 3 4 WHEREAS counsel agree that despite due diligence on their part, good cause exists to 5 extend the non-expert discovery cut-off 45 days, to September 9, 2011, and that such an extension would not interfere with the trial date or other pre-trial dates established by the Court and would be 6 7 in the furtherance of justice; 8 THEREFORE, for all the foregoing reasons, the parties and agree and stipulate that good 9 cause exists to continue the non-expert discovery cut-off date from July 29, 2011 to September 9, 10 2011, and respectfully ask the Court to so enter an Order so providing. 11 DATED: May 19, 2011 HELBRAUN LAW FIRM 12 13 DAVID M. HELBRAUN Attorneys for Plaintiff 14 SPENCER SUTTON 15 DATED: May 20, 2011 DENNIS J. HERRERA 16 SAN FRANCISCO CITY ATTORNEY 17 18 PETER J. KEITH Attorneys for Defendants 19 20 IT IS SO ORDERED. 21 DATED: 5/24/11 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Case 4:10-cv-04563-SBA Document 16 Filed 05/24/11 Page 3 of 3

Case No. C10-4563 SBA